arXiv:math/0508305v2 [math.OA] 25 Aug 2005

Maximal Injective Subalgebras of Tensor Products of Free Group Factors

Junhao Shen

Department of Mathematics, University of New Hampshire, Durham NH, 03824.

email: jog2@cisunix.unh.edu

Abstract: In this article, we proved the following results. Let $L(F(n_i))$ ($n_i \geq 2$) be the free group factor on n_i generators and $\lambda(g_i)$ be one of standard generators of $L(F(n_i))$ for $1 \leq i \leq N$. Let $\mathcal{A}_i$ be the abelian von Neumann subalgebra of $L(F(n_i))$ generated by $\lambda(g_i)$. Then the abelian von Neumann subalgebra $\otimes_{i=1}^{N}\mathcal{A}_i$ is a maximal injective von Neumann subalgebra of $\otimes_{i=1}^{N}L(F(n_i))$. When N is equal to infinity, we obtained McDuff factors that contain maximal injective abelian von Neumann subalgebras.

1 Introduction

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a separable complex Hilbert space, $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be the algebra of all bounded linear operators from $\mathcal{H}$ to $\mathcal{H}$. A von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{R}$ is called "injective" if it is the range of a norm one projection from $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ onto $\mathcal{R}$. Since injective von Neumann algebras form a monotone class, it follows that any injective von Neumann algebra is contained in some maximal injective von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{R}_1$.

In his influential list of problems presented at the conference in Baton Rouge in 1967, R. Kadison asked ([3]; Problem 7) whether each self-adjoint operator in a II_1 factor lies in some hyperfinite subfactor. This problem was answered in the negative in a remarkable paper [9] by S. Popa. More specifically, if $L(F(n))$ is the free group factor on n generators, and $\lambda(g)$ is one of the standard generators of $L(F(n))$, then S. Popa showed that the abelian von Neumann subalgebra generated by $\lambda(g)$ is a maximal injective von Neumann

subalgebra of $L(F(n))$. It follows that $\lambda(g)$ is not contained in any hyperfinite subfactor of $L(F(n))$, which solves Kadison's problem as mentioned above. In [2], L. Ge provided more examples of maximal injective von Neumann subalgebras in type II_1 factors. Actually he showed that each non-atomic injective finite von Neumann algebra with a separable predual is maximal injective in its free product with any von Neumann algebra associated with a countable discrete group. Note that the type II_1 factors listed in [9], [2] that contain maximal injective abelian subalgebras are all non-Γ factors.

In this paper, we provide examples of maximal injective von Neumann subalgebras in McDuff factors of type II_1. In particular, we consider maximal injective von Neumann subalgebras in tensor products of free group factors. By developing the techniques from [9], [2], we are able to prove the following result.

Theorem *Suppose $\{n_i\}_{i=1}^N$ is a sequence of integers where $n_i \geq 2$ for all $1 \leq i \leq N$ and N is finite or infinite. Let $F(n_i)$ be the free group with the standard generators $\{g_{i,j}\}_{j=1}^{n_i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq N$. Let the group G be $\times_{i=1}^N F(n_i)$, the direct product of all $F(n_i)$'s. And $F(n_i)$ is identified with its canonical image in G. Let λ be the left regular representation of G and $\mathcal{M}(= L(G) \cong \otimes_{i=1}^N L(F(n_i)))$ be the group von Neumann algebra associated with G. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the abelian von Neumann subalgebra of $\mathcal{M}$ generated by the unitary elements $\{\lambda(g_{i,1}) | 1 \leq i \leq N\}$. Then $\mathcal{A}$ is a maximal injective subalgebra of $\mathcal{M}$, thus not contained in any hyperfinite subfactor of $\mathcal{M}$.*

When N is equal to infinity, we obtain examples of McDuff factors of type II_1 (for example, $\otimes_{i=1}^{\infty} L(F(2))$) that contain maximal injective abelian von Neumann subalgebras.

The organization of the paper is as follows. We introduce some basic knowledge in section 2. One useful lemma by R. Kadison is quoted. In section 3, some technical lemmas needed in later section are proved. In section 4, we prove our main theorem, Theorem 4.1, of the paper.

It was expected by S. Popa that every non-atomic finite injective von Neumann algebra is $*-$isomorphic to a maximal injective subalgebra of each nonhyperfinite type II_1 factor. We hope that our work will provide some new insights into S. Popa's question.

The author wishes to express his deep gratitude to Prof. L. Ge for many stimulating and fruitful conversations.

2 Preliminaries

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a separable complex Hilbert space, $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be the algebra of all bounded liner operators from $\mathcal{H}$ to $\mathcal{H}$. (For the general theory of operator algebras, we refer to [5] and [8].) A von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{R}$ is called "injective" if it is the range of a norm one projection from $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ onto $\mathcal{R}$. Since injective von Neumann subalgebras of a von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$ form a monotone class, it follows that any injective von Neumann subalgebra of $\mathcal{M}$ is contained in some maximal injective von Neumann subalgebra $\mathcal{R}_1$ of $\mathcal{M}$.

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a finite von Neumann algebra with the tracial state τ. If ω is a free filter on $\mathbb{N}$ then denote by $\mathcal{M}^\omega$ the quotient of the von Neumann algebra $l^\infty(\mathbb{N}, \mathcal{M})$ by the 0-ideal of the trace τ_ω, $\tau_\omega((x_n)_n) = \lim_{n\to\omega} \tau(x_n)$. Then $\mathcal{M}^\omega$ is a finite von Neumann algebra, τ_ω is a trace on $\mathcal{M}^\omega$. $\mathcal{M}$ is naturally embedded in $\mathcal{M}^\omega$ as the algebra of constant sequence. (see [10])

A separable type II_1 factor $\mathcal{M}$ has the property Γ of Murray and von Neumann (see [7]) if for any $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in \mathcal{M}$, $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a unitary element $u \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $\tau(u) = 0$ and $||ux_i - x_i u||_2 \leq \epsilon,\ 1 \leq i \leq n$.

It is well-known that a separable type II_1 factor $\mathcal{M}$ has the property Γ of Murray and von Neumann if and only if $\mathcal{M}' \cap \mathcal{M}^\omega \neq \mathbb{C}I$. If $\mathcal{M} \cong \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{R}_0$, then $\mathcal{M}$ is called a McDuff factor, where $\mathcal{R}_0$ is the unique hyperfinite type II_1 factor. It is known in [6] that $\mathcal{M}$ is a McDuff factor if and only if $\mathcal{M}' \cap \mathcal{M}^\omega$ is non-commutative. Since $\mathcal{R}_0 \otimes \mathcal{R}_0 \cong \mathcal{R}_0$, $\mathcal{R}_0' \cap \mathcal{R}_0^\omega$ is noncommutative.

Let $\mathcal{R}$ be an injective von Neumann subalgebra of $\mathcal{M}$. Then $\mathcal{R}$ can be decomposed as $\mathcal{R}_1 \oplus \mathcal{R}_2$, where R_1 is a type I von Neumann subalgebra of $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{R}_2$ is a type II_1 von Neumann subalgebra of $\mathcal{M}$. From Connes's celebrated result (see [1]), both of $\mathcal{R}_1$ and $\mathcal{R}_2$ are injective. Then we have

Lemma 2.1 *If $\mathcal{R}_2 \neq 0$, then $\mathcal{R}' \cap \mathcal{R}_2^\omega$ $(\subset \mathcal{R}' \cap \mathcal{M}^\omega)$ is noncommutative.*

Proof: From Lemma XVI.1.5 of [11], it follows that $\mathcal{R}_2 \cong \mathcal{Z} \otimes \mathcal{R}_0$, where $\mathcal{Z}$ is the center of $\mathcal{R}_2$ and $\mathcal{R}_0$ is the hyperfinite factor of type II_1. From the fact that $\mathcal{R}_0' \cap \mathcal{R}_0^\omega$ is a non-commutative von Neumann algebra, we obtain that $\mathcal{R}' \cap \mathcal{R}_2^\omega$ $(\subset \mathcal{R}' \cap \mathcal{M}^\omega)$ is also noncommutative.

As a corollary, we have

Corollary 2.1 *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a finite von Neumann algebra with the tracial state τ. Let $\mathcal{R}$ is an injective von Neumann subalgebra of $\mathcal{M}$. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an abelian self-adjoint von Neumann subalgebra of $\mathcal{R}$. Suppose $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}_1 \oplus \mathcal{R}_2$, where $\mathcal{R}_1$ is a type I von Neumann subalgebra of $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{R}_2$ is a type II$_1$ von Neumann subalgebra of $\mathcal{M}$. If $\mathcal{R}_2 \neq 0$, then there exists an element $x \in \mathcal{R}' \cap \mathcal{R}_2^\omega$ not contained in $\mathcal{A}^\omega$.*

Here, we quote a useful lemma from [4].

Lemma 2.2 (From [4]) *If $\mathcal{N}_0$ is a countably decomposable von Neumann algebra, $\mathcal{N}$ is the von Neumann algebra of $n \times n$ matrices with entries in $\mathcal{N}_0$, and $\mathcal{S}$ is an abelian self-adjoint subset of $\mathcal{N}$, then there is a unitary element (matrix) u in $\mathcal{N}$ such that uau^{-1} has all its non-zero entries on the diagonal for each a in $\mathcal{S}$.*

Definition 2.1 *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a finite von Neumann algebra with the tracial state τ. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a von Neumann subalgebra of $\mathcal{M}$. Then the normalizer, $N(\mathcal{A})$, is defined as the set consisting of all unitary elements u in $\mathcal{M}$ such that $u\mathcal{A}u^* = \mathcal{A}$. If $\mathcal{M} = \{N(\mathcal{A})\}''$, then $\mathcal{A}$ is called to be regular in $\mathcal{M}$. If $\mathcal{A} = \{N(\mathcal{A})\}''$, then $\mathcal{A}$ is called to be singular in $\mathcal{M}$.*

The following lemma tells us that a maximal abelian von Neumann subalgebra $\mathcal{A}$ in a finite type I von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$ has to be regular in $\mathcal{M}$.

Lemma 2.3 *Suppose $\mathcal{M}$ is a finite type I von Neumann algebra and $\mathcal{A}$ is a maximal abelian von Neumann subalgebra of $\mathcal{M}$. Then $\mathcal{A}$ is regular in $\mathcal{M}$, i.e. $\mathcal{M}$ is generated by the normalizer of $\mathcal{A}$.*

Proof: Decompose $\mathcal{M}$ as $\oplus_i \mathcal{Z}_i \otimes M_{n_i}(\mathbb{C})$, where $\mathcal{Z}_i$ is abelian von Neumann subalgebra of $\mathcal{M}$. It is sufficient to show the following statement: suppose $\mathcal{A}_i$ is a maximal abelian von Neumann subalgebra in $\mathcal{Z}_i \otimes M_{n_i}(\mathbb{C})$, then $\mathcal{Z}_i \otimes M_{n_i}(\mathbb{C})$ is generated by the normalizer of $\mathcal{A}_i$. Since $\mathcal{A}_i$ is an abelian von Neumann subalgebra, $\mathcal{A}_i$ is generated by a self-adjoint element x in $\mathcal{A}_i$. By Lemma 2.2 we know that there exists a unitary element u in $\mathcal{Z}_i \otimes M_{n_i}(\mathbb{C})$ such that uxu^* is a diagonal matrix. i.e. $uxu^* = diag(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$ where x_j is in $\mathcal{Z}_i$. Since $u\mathcal{A}_iu^*$ is also a maximal abelian von Neumann subalgebra in $\mathcal{Z}_i \otimes M_{n_i}(\mathbb{C})$ and generated by $uxu^* = diag(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$, we easily have that $u\mathcal{A}_iu^* = \mathcal{Z}_i \otimes \mathcal{D}_i$ where $\mathcal{D}_i$ is the von Neumann subalgebra generated by $\{e_{ss}\}_{1 \le s \le n_i}$ in $M_{n_i}(\mathbb{C})$ and $\{e_{st}\}_{1 \le s,t \le n_i}$ is the canonical system of matrix units in $M_{n_i}(\mathbb{C})$. It follows that $\mathcal{Z}_i \otimes M_{n_i}(\mathbb{C})$ is generated by the normalizer of $u\mathcal{A}_iu^*$; consequently by the normalizer of $\mathcal{A}_i$. Q.E.D.

Lemma 2.4 *We have the following statements.*

(1) Suppose $\mathcal{R}_2$ is a type II_1 injective von Neumann algebra with the traical state τ. Suppose $\mathcal{A}$ is a maximal abelian von Neumann subalgebra of $\mathcal{R}_2$. Then there exists a unitary element w in $\mathcal{R}_2$ such that w is orthogonal to $\mathcal{A}$ in $\mathcal{R}_2$ with respect to τ, i.e. $\tau(wx) = 0$ for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$.

(2) Let $\mathcal{R}$ be $\mathcal{R}_1 \oplus \mathcal{R}_2$ with the tracial state τ, where $\mathcal{R}_1$ is a type I von Neumann algebra and $\mathcal{R}_2$ is a nonzero type II_1 injective von Neumann algebra. Let $\mathcal{A}$ is a maximal abelian von Neumann subalgebra of $\mathcal{R}$. Let P_1, P_2 be the central supports of $\mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{R}_2$, respectively. Then there exists a unitary w in $\mathcal{R}_2$, so a partial isometry in $\mathcal{R}$ with $ww^* = w^*w = P_2$, such that w is orthogonal to $\mathcal{A}$ in $\mathcal{R}$ with respect to τ.

Proof: We need only to show (1), since (2) follows from (1) directly. Note that $\mathcal{R}_2$ can be decomposed as $\mathcal{Z} \otimes \mathcal{R}_0$ where $\mathcal{Z}$ is the center of $\mathcal{R}_2$ and $\mathcal{R}_0$ is the unique hyperfinite II_1 factor. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{R}_0 \cong \mathcal{R}_0 \otimes M_2(\mathbb{C})$. Thus $\mathcal{R}_2$ can also be viewed as $(\mathcal{Z} \otimes \mathcal{R}_0) \otimes M_2(\mathbb{C})$ and $\mathcal{A}$ is a maximal abelian von Neumann subalgebra in $(\mathcal{Z} \otimes \mathcal{R}_0) \otimes M_2(\mathbb{C})$. By Lemma 2.3, there exists a unitary element u in $(\mathcal{Z} \otimes \mathcal{R}_0) \otimes M_2(\mathbb{C})$ such that uau^* has all its non-zero entries on the diagonal for each a in $\mathcal{A}$. Suppose $\{e_{ij}\}_{1 \le i,j \le 2}$ is the canonical system of matrix units of $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ in $(\mathcal{Z} \otimes \mathcal{R}_0) \otimes M_2(\mathbb{C})$. Let $v = I_{\mathcal{Z} \otimes \mathcal{R}_0} \otimes e_{12} + I_{\mathcal{Z} \otimes \mathcal{R}_0} \otimes e_{21}$ and $w = u^* v u$. By direct computation, we get that w is a unitary element in $\mathcal{R}_2$ and orthogonal to $\mathcal{A}$ in $\mathcal{R}_2$ with respect to τ. Q.E.D.

3 Some Technical Lemmas

Let $\{n_i\}_{i=1}^N$ be a sequence of integers where each $n_i \ge 2$ and N is finite or ∞. Let $F(n_i)$ be the free group with the standard generators $\{g_{i,j}\}_{1 \le j \le n_i}$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} G &= \times_{i=1}^N F(n_i), \text{ the direct product of groups } F(n_1), \ldots, F(n_N); \\ H_i &= \text{subgroup of } F(n_i) \text{ generated by } g_{i,1}, \qquad \text{for } 1 \le i \le N \\ H &= H_1 \times H_2 \times \cdots \times H_N \\ G_i &= \left(\times_{k=1}^{i-1} F(n_k)\right) \times H_i \times \left(\times_{k=i+1}^{N} F(n_k)\right), \qquad \text{for } 1 \le i \le N. \end{aligned}$$

Here, we identify $F(n_i)$ with its canonical image in G.

Let $\mathcal{M}_0$ be a finite von Neumann algebra with the tracial state τ_0. Let G act on $\mathcal{M}_0$ by τ_0-preserving automorphisms. Denote by $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_0 \times G$ the corresponding crossed product von Neumann algebra. $\mathcal{M}_0$ is identified with its canonical image in $\mathcal{M}$ and denote by $\lambda(g)$, $g \in G$, the unitary elements in M canonically implementing the action of G on $\mathcal{M}_0$, and by τ the tracial state on $\mathcal{M}$ that extends τ_0 of $\mathcal{M}_0$.

Note every element x in $L^2(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ can be uniquely decomposed as $x = \sum_{g \in G} a_g \lambda(g)$, with $a_g \in \mathcal{M}_0$. The set $\{g \in G | a_g \neq 0\}$ is called the support of x.

If $x = \sum_{g \in G} a_g \lambda(g)$ is in $L^2(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and $F \subset G$ is a nonempty subset of G, we denote by x_F the element $\sum_{g \in F} a_g \lambda(g) \in L^2(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and $||x||_F = ||x_F||_2$. For any subsets F and $\tilde{F}$ of G, denote by F^{-1} the set $\{g^{-1} | g \in F\}$ and by $F\tilde{F}$ the set $\{gh | g \in F,\ h \in \tilde{F}\}$.

For the subgroup $H_0 \subset G$, we denote by $L(H_0)$ the von Neumann subalgebra of $\mathcal{M}$ generated by $\lambda(g)$ with $g \in H_0$ and by $\mathcal{M}_{H_0}$ the von Neumann subalgebra of $\mathcal{M}$ generated by $\mathcal{M}_0$ and $L(H_0)$.

The following lemma is essentially from Lemma 2.1 in [9].

Lemma 3.1 *Let ω be a free ultrafilter on $\mathbb{N}$, and H be a subgroup of G. Suppose $x = (x_n)_n$ is an element in $\mathcal{M}^\omega$ and y is an element in $\mathcal{M}$. Suppose, for every $\epsilon > 0$, there are subsets S_0, S_1, S of G, depending on ϵ, satisfying*

(i) $S = G \setminus (S_0 \cup H)$;

(ii) $||y - y_{S_1}||_2 \leq \epsilon$, *and* $||y_{S_1}|| \leq ||y||$;

(iii) There exists some positive integer n_1 such that $||(x_n)||_{S_0} \leq \epsilon, \quad \forall n \geq n_1$;

(iv) $(SS_1) \cap (S_1S) = \phi$; $(S_1H) \cap (S_1S) = \phi$; $(HS_1) \cap (S_1S) = \phi$;

(v) $(HS_1) \cap (SS_1) = \phi$; $(S_1H) \cap (SS_1) = \phi$.

Then,

$$||yx - xy||_2^2 \geq ||y(x - E_{\mathcal{M}_H^\omega}(x))||_2^2 + ||(x - E_{\mathcal{M}_H^\omega}(x))y||_2^2.$$

Proof: Note that the support of y_{S_1}, $(x_n)_H$ or $(x_n)_S$ is on S_1, H and S, respectively. By (iv) and (v), it is easy to check that $y_{S_1}[(x_n)_S]$, $[(x_n)_S]y_{S_1}$ and $y_{S_1}[(x_n)_H] - [(x_n)_H]y_{S_1}$ are mutually orthogonal vectors in $L^2(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$.

Thus, if $\mathcal{H}_\omega$ denote the untraproduct Hilbert space obtained as the quotient of $(\xi_n)_n \subset L^2(\mathcal{M}, \tau)|\ \sup ||\xi_n||_2 < \infty\}$ by the subspace $\{(\eta_n)_n \subset L^2(\mathcal{M}, \tau)|\ \lim_{n\to\omega} ||\xi_n||_2 = 0\}$, endowed with the norm $||(\xi_n)_n||_2 = \lim_{n\to\omega} ||\xi_n||_2$, then $x' = (y_{S_1}[(x_n)_S])_n$, $x'' = ([(x_n)_S]y_{S_1})_n$, $x''' = (y_{S_1}[(x_n)_H] - [(x_n)_H]y_{S_1})_n$ are mutually orthogonal elements in $\mathcal{H}_\omega$. Moreover $L^2(\mathcal{M}^\omega, \tau)$ is naturally embedded in $\mathcal{H}_\omega$. Note that $E_{\mathcal{M}_H^\omega}(x_n) = (x_n)_H$ for $n \geq 1$. By (i), (ii), (iii) we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
||y(x - E_{\mathcal{M}_H^\omega}(x)) - x'||_2 &\leq sup_{n\geq n_1} ||y(x_n - E_{\mathcal{M}_H^\omega}(x_n)) - y_{S_1}[(x_n)_S]||_2 \\
&\leq sup_{n\geq n_1} ||(y - y_{S_1})(x_n - E_{\mathcal{M}_H^\omega}(x_n))||_2 \\
&\qquad + \sup_{n\geq n_1} ||y_{S_1}[x_n - E_{\mathcal{M}_H^\omega}(x_n) - (x_n)_S]||_2 \\
&\leq sup_{n\geq n_1} ||(y - y_{S_1})(x_n - E_{\mathcal{M}_H^\omega}(x_n))||_2 \\
&\qquad + ||y||(\sup_{n\geq n_1} ||x_n - (x_n)_H - (x_n)_S||_2) \\
&\leq sup_{n\geq n_1} ||(y - y_{S_1})(x_n - E_{\mathcal{M}_H^\omega}(x_n))||_2 \\
&\qquad + ||y||(\sup_{n\geq n_1} ||(x_n)_{S_0}||_2) \\
&\leq \epsilon \sup(||x_n|| + ||y||)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
||(x - E_{\mathcal{M}_H^\omega}(x))y - x''||_2 &\leq sup_{n\geq n_1}||(x_n - E_{\mathcal{M}_H^\omega}(x_n))y - y_{S_1}[(x_n)_S]||_2 \\
&\leq sup_{n\geq n_1}||(x_n - E_{\mathcal{M}_H^\omega}(x_n))(y - y_{S_1})||_2 \\
&\qquad + (\sup_{n\geq n_1}||(x_n)_{S_0}||_2)||y|| \\
&\leq \epsilon \sup(||x_n|| + ||y||) \\
||yE_{\mathcal{M}_H^\omega}(x) - E_{\mathcal{M}_H^\omega}(x)y - x'''||_2 &\leq sup_{n\geq n_1}||(y - y_{S_1})E_{\mathcal{M}_H^\omega}(x_n)||_2 \\
&\qquad + sup_{n\geq n_1}||E_{\mathcal{M}_H^\omega}(x_n)(y - y_{S_1})||_2 \\
&\leq 2\epsilon \sup ||x_n||
\end{aligned}$$

This shows that the vectors $y(x-E_{\mathcal{M}_H^\omega}(x))$, $(x-E_{\mathcal{M}_H^\omega}(x))y$, $yE_{\mathcal{M}_H^\omega}(x)-E_{\mathcal{M}_H^\omega}(x)y$ can be approximated arbitrarily well in $\mathcal{H}_\omega$ by some mutually orthogonal vectors and hence they are mutually orthogonal in $L^2(\mathcal{M}^\omega, \tau)$. Since there sum is equal to $yx - xy$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
||yx - xy||_2^2 &= ||y(x - E_{\mathcal{M}_H^\omega}(x))||_2^2 + ||(x - E_{\mathcal{M}_H^\omega}(x))y||_2^2 + ||yE_{\mathcal{M}_H^\omega}(x) - E_{\mathcal{M}_H^\omega}(x)y||_2^2 \\
&\geq ||y(x - E_{\mathcal{M}_H^\omega}(x))||_2^2 + ||(x - E_{\mathcal{M}_H^\omega}(x))y||_2^2
\end{aligned}$$

Q.E.D.

Recall that, for the subgroup $H_0 \subset G$, we denote by $L(H_0)$ the von Neumann subalgebra of $\mathcal{M}$ generated by $\lambda(g)$ with $g \in H_0$ and by $\mathcal{M}_{H_0}$ the von Neumann subalgebra of $\mathcal{M}$ generated by $\mathcal{M}_0$ and $L(H_0)$. Following the preceding notations, we let

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{M}_H, \quad \mathcal{A}_i = \mathcal{M}_{H_i}, \quad \mathcal{N}_i = \mathcal{M}_{G_i}, \qquad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq N.$$

We have the following lemma, which is the extension of Lemma 2.1 in [9].

Lemma 3.2 *Suppose that N is a finite integer. Let ω be a free ultrafilter on $\mathbb{N}$. Suppose x is an element in $\mathcal{M}^\omega$ $(= (\mathcal{M}_0 \times G)^\omega)$ that commutes $\mathcal{A}$ and*

$$E_{\mathcal{N}_1^\omega}(x) = \cdots = E_{\mathcal{N}_N^\omega}(x) = E_{\mathcal{A}^\omega}(x).$$

Then for any $y \in \mathcal{M}$ with $E_{\mathcal{N}_1}(y) = \cdots = E_{\mathcal{N}_N}(y) = E_{\mathcal{A}}(y) = 0$, we have

$$||yx - xy||_2^2 \geq ||y(x - E_{\mathcal{A}^\omega}(x))||_2^2 + ||(x - E_{\mathcal{A}^\omega}(x))y||_2^2.$$

Proof: Let $(x_n)_n$ be a sequence of elements in $\mathcal{M}$ representing $x \in \mathcal{M}^\omega$. We might assume that

$$E_{\mathcal{N}_1}(x_n) = \cdots = E_{\mathcal{N}_N}(x_n) = E_{\mathcal{A}}(x_n), \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Let $\mathcal{F} = span\{a_g\lambda(g)|a_g \in \mathcal{M}_0, g \in G\}$, a weakly dense *-subalgebra in $\mathcal{M}$. For every $\epsilon > 0$, by Kaplansky density theorem there exists $y^0 \in \mathcal{F}$ such that

$$||y - y^0||_2 < \epsilon, \ \ ||y^0|| \leq ||y||, \ E_{\mathcal{N}_1}(y^0) = \cdots = E_{\mathcal{N}_N}(y^0) = E_{\mathcal{A}}(y^0) = 0.$$

Let S_1 be the support of y^0. Since $E_{\mathcal{N}_1}(y^0) = \cdots = E_{\mathcal{N}_N}(y^0) = E_{\mathcal{A}}(y^0) = 0$, we have that $S_1 \cap (\cup_i G_i \cup H) = \phi$.

Note that every element (or word) w in $G = F(n_1) \times \cdots \times F(n_N)$ can be uniquely written as

$$w = (g_{1,1})^{m_1} \cdots (g_{N,1})^{m_N} w_1 \cdots w_N (g_{1,1})^{n_1} \cdots (g_{N,1})^{n_N},$$

where $(g_{i,1})^{m_i} w_i (g_{i,1})^{n_i}$ is a reduced word in $F(n_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq N$.

Let $N_0 - 1$ be the maximal length of the words g in the finite set S_1, the supports of y^0. For every i, denote by $S_i^0 = \{g = (g_{1,1})^{m_1} \cdots (g_{N,1})^{m_N} w_1 \cdots w_N (g_{1,1})^{n_1} \cdots (g_{N,1})^{n_N} \in G|$ w_i starts with a nonzero power of some $g_{i,j}$ for some $j \geq 2$; and $0 \leq |m_i| \leq 2N_0 - 1\}$, and

$$S_0 = (\cup_i S_i^0 \cup (\cup_i S_i^0)^{-1} \cup G_1 \cup G_2 \cup \cdots \cup G_N) \setminus H,$$
$$S = G \setminus (S_0 \cup H).$$

Our first goal is to show that $||(x_n)_{S_0}||_2$ is small for n large. Note that $(x_n)_{G_i} = E_{\mathcal{N}_i}(x_n) = E_{\mathcal{A}}(x_n) = (x_n)_H$, for $1 \leq i \leq N$. It follows that $||(x_n)_{S_0}||_2 \leq \sum_i ||(x_n)_{S_i^0}||_2 + \sum_i ||(x_n)_{(S_i^0)^{-1}}||_2$. It will be sufficient to control the norms in the right side. Let N_1 be an integer multiple of $4N_0$ such that $N_1 \geq 32N_0N^3||x||^2\epsilon^{-2}$. By hypothesis, there exists $n_1 = n_1(\epsilon, N_1)$ such that if $n \geq n_1$, then

$$||\lambda(g_{i,1})^{k_i} x_n \lambda(g_{i,1})^{-k_i} - x_n||_2 < (2N)^{-2}\epsilon;$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq N$, $|k_1|, \ldots, |k_N| \leq N_1$. So if $1 \leq i \leq N, 0 < 4N_0|k_i| \leq N_1$ and $n \geq n_1$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&||\lambda(g_{i,1})^{4N_0k_i}(x_n)_{S_i^0}\lambda(g_{i,1})^{-4N_0k_i} - (x_n)_{(g_{i,1})^{4N_0k_i}S_i^0(g_{i,1})^{-4N_0k_i}}||_2 \\
&= ||(\lambda(g_{i,1})^{4N_0k_i}x_n\lambda(g_{i,1})^{-4N_0k_i} - x_n)_{(g_{i,1})^{4N_0k_i}S_i^0(g_{i,1})^{-4N_0k_i}}||_2 \\
&\leq ||\lambda(g_{i,1})^{4N_0k_i}x_n\lambda(g_{i,1})^{-4N_0k_i} - x_n||_2 \\
&< (2N)^{-2}\epsilon;
\end{aligned}$$

Using the parallelogram identity in the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, we get the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned}
||(x_n)_{S_i^0}||_2^2 &= ||\lambda(g_{i,1})^{4N_0k_i}(x_n)_{S_i^0}\lambda(g_{i,1})^{-4N_0k_i}||_2^2 \\
&\leq 2||\lambda(g_{i,1})^{4N_0k_i}(x_n)_{S_i^0}\lambda(g_{i,1})^{-4N_0k_i} - (x_n)_{(g_{i,1})^{4N_0k_i}S_i^0(g_{i,1})^{-4N_0k_i}}||_2^2 \\
&\qquad + 2||(x_n)_{(g_{i,1})^{4N_0k_i}S_i^0(g_{i,1})^{-4N_0k_i}}||_2^2 \\
&\leq (2N)^{-3}\epsilon^2 + 2||(x_n)_{(g_{i,1})^{4N_0k_i}S_i^0(g_{i,1})^{-4N_0k_i}}||_2^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Now we use the fact that

$$\{\lambda(g_{i,1})^{4N_0k_i}S_i^0\lambda(g_{i,1})^{-4N_0k_i}\}_{k_i\in\mathbb{Z}}$$

are disjoint subsets of G, so that summing up the above inequalities for all k_i, $0 < 4N_0|k_i| \leq N_1$, we have

$$\left(\frac{N_1}{2N_0}\right)||(x_n)_{S_i^0}||_2^2 < \left(\frac{N_1}{2N_0}\right)(2N)^{-3}\epsilon^2 + 2||x_n||_2^2$$

so that

$$||(x_n)_{S_i^0}||_2^2 < (2N)^{-3}\epsilon^2 + 2||x||^2\left(\frac{N_1}{2N_0}\right)^{-1} \leq (2N)^{-2}\epsilon^2.$$

Similarly, we get $||(x_n)_{(S_i^0)^{-1}}||_2 < (2N)^{-1}\epsilon$ and thus $||(x_n)_{S_0}||_2 < \epsilon$ for all $n \geq n_1$.

Denote by $l(w)$ the length of the reduced word w in G. Since every element w in G can be uniquely expressed as,

$$w = (g_{1,1})^{m_1}\cdots(g_{N,1})^{m_N}w_1\cdots w_N(g_{1,1})^{n_1}\cdots(g_{N,1})^{n_N} \in G,$$

where $g_{i,1}^{m_i}w_ig_{i,1}^{n_i}$ is a reduced word in $L(F(n_i))$ and w_i does not start, also not end, with any power of $g_{i,1}$. Then we can define the following functions as

$$Sl_i(w) = m_i, \quad El_i(w) = n_i, \quad l_i(w) = l(w_i), \quad \tilde{l}(w) = l(w_1\cdots w_N).$$

Note that every reduced word w in S contains, for all $1 \leq i \leq N$, a nonzero power of some $g_{i,j}$ with $j \geq 2$; and begins, also ends, with the power of $g_{i,1}$ greater than in $2N_0 - 1$. i.e.

$$\min_i l_i(w) > 0; \quad \min_i Sl_i(w) \geq 2N_0 - 1; \quad \min_i El_i(w) \geq 2N_0 - 1.$$

Let g_1 be any element in S_1, the support of y^0. Since $S_1 \cap (\cup_{1\leq i\leq N}G_i \cup H) = \phi$, it follows that, for every i, g_1 contains a nonzero power of some $g_{i,j}$ $(j \geq 2)$. (i.e. $\min_i l_i(g_1) > 0$). Since any element in S begins, also ends, with the powers of $g_{i,1}$ greater than in absolute value that twice the length of g_1, a quick computation shows that

$$\min_i Sl_i(w) \geq 2N_0 - 1; \quad \min_i El_i(w) \leq N_0, \quad \forall w \in SS_1$$
$$\min_i Sl_i(w) \leq N_0; \quad \min_i El_i(w) \geq 2N_0 - 1, \quad \forall w \in S_1S$$

Hence, $(SS_1) \cap (S_1S) = \phi$.

Let g_2 be another element in S_1 (so, not in $\cup_{1\leq i\leq N}G_i \cup H$). We claim that $g_2S \cap g_1H = \phi$. Since g_2 is in S_1 but not in $\cup_{1\leq i\leq N}G_i \cup H$, we know that $\min_i l_i(g_2) > 0$ and $l(g_2) \leq N_0$. Combining with the facts that $\min_i Sl_i(g) \geq 2N_0 - 1$ and $\min_i l_i(g) > 0$ for every $g \in S$, we obtain that $l_i(g_2g) \geq N_0 + 1$. Therefore, $\tilde{l}(g_2g) \geq l_i(g_2g) \geq N_0 + 1$. On the other hand, it is easy to see that $\tilde{l}(g_1h) \leq N_0$ for all $g_1 \in S_1$ and $h \in H$. Thus $g_2S \cap g_1H = \phi$ whence

$(S_1H) \cap (S_1S) = \phi$. Similarly, we also have $(HS_1) \cap (S_1S) = \phi$; $(HS_1) \cap (SS_1) = \phi$; and $(S_1H) \cap (SS_1) = \phi$.

As a summary, for such x, y, H, S_0, S_1, S, we have that (i) $S = G \setminus (S_0 \cup H)$; (ii) $||y - y_{S_1}||_2 \leq \epsilon,\ ||y_{S_1}|| \leq ||y||$; (iii) There exists some positive integer n_1 such that $||(x_n)||_{S_0} \leq \epsilon, \quad \forall n \geq n_1$; (iv) $(SS_1) \cap (S_1S) = \phi$; $(S_1H) \cap (S_1S) = \phi$; $(HS_1) \cap (S_1S) = \phi$; (v) $(HS_1) \cap (SS_1) = \phi$; $(S_1H) \cap (SS_1) = \phi$.

Applying Lemma 3.1, we get

$$||yx - xy||_2^2 \geq ||y(x - E_{\mathcal{A}^\omega}(x))||_2^2 + ||(x - E_{\mathcal{A}^\omega}(x))y||_2^2. \qquad \text{Q.E.D}$$

Note when $N = 1$, $G_1 = H_1 = H$, as an application of the preceding lemma, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.1 *Let $\mathcal{M}_0$ be $L(\mathbb{Z})$ or $\mathbb{C}I$, and the group G $(= F(n))$ act trivially on $\mathcal{M}_0$. Then*

1. *$\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_0 \times G = L(G) \otimes \mathcal{M}_0$, and $\mathcal{A} = L(H) \otimes \mathcal{M}_0$.*
2. *Let ω be a free ultrafilter on $\mathbb{N}$. Suppose x is an element in $\mathcal{M}^\omega$ $(= (\mathcal{M}_0 \times G)^\omega)$ that commutes $\mathcal{A}$. Then for any $y \in \mathcal{M}$ with $E_{\mathcal{A}}(y) = 0$, we have*

$$||yx - xy||_2^2 \geq ||y(x - E_{\mathcal{A}^\omega}(x))||_2^2 + ||(x - E_{\mathcal{A}^\omega}(x))y||_2^2.$$

Generally, when N is an arbitrary finite integer, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.2 *Let $\mathcal{M}_0$ be $L(\mathbb{Z})$ or $\mathbb{C}I$, and the group G $(= F(n_1) \times \cdots \times F(n_N))$ act trivially on $\mathcal{M}_0$. Then*

1. *$\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_0 \times G = L(G) \otimes \mathcal{M}_0$, and $\mathcal{A} = L(H) \otimes \mathcal{M}_0$.*
2. *Suppose that N is a finite integer. Let ω be a free ultrafilter on $\mathbb{N}$. Suppose x is an element in $\mathcal{M}^\omega$ $(= (\mathcal{M}_0 \times G)^\omega)$ that commutes $\mathcal{A}$ and*

$$E_{(L(G_1)\otimes\mathcal{M}_0)^\omega}(x) = \cdots = E_{(L(G_N)\otimes\mathcal{M}_0)^\omega}(x) = E_{\mathcal{A}^\omega}(x).$$

Then for any $y \in \mathcal{M}$ with $E_{L(G_1)\otimes\mathcal{M}_0}(y) = \cdots = E_{L(G_N)\otimes\mathcal{M}_0}(y) = E_{\mathcal{A}}(y) = 0$, we have

$$||yx - xy||_2^2 \geq ||y(x - E_{\mathcal{A}^\omega}(x))||_2^2 + ||(x - E_{\mathcal{A}^\omega}(x))y||_2^2.$$

4 Abelian Maximal Injective Subalgebras of Tensor Product of Free Group Factors

Let $\{n_i\}_{i=1}^m$ be a sequence of integers where $n_i \geq 2$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m$ and m is finite or infinite. Let $F(n_i)$ be the free group with the standard generators $\{g_{i,j}\}_{j=1}^{n_i}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} G(m) &= \times_{i=1}^m F(n_i), \text{ the direct product of groups } F(n_1), \ldots, F(n_m); \\ H_i &= \text{subgroup of } F(n_i) \text{ generated by } g_{i,1}, \qquad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq m; \\ H(m) &= H_1 \times H_2 \times \cdots \times H_m \\ G_i &= \left(\times_{k=1}^{i-1} F(n_k)\right) \times H_i \times \left(\times_{k=i+1}^m F_{n_k}\right), \qquad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq m; \\ J_i &= \left(\times_{k=1}^{i-1} F(n_k)\right) \times \left(\times_{k=i}^m H_k\right), \qquad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq m. \end{aligned}$$

Here, $F(n_i)$ is identified with its canonical image in $G(m)$.

Let λ be the left regular representation of $G(m)$ and $\mathcal{M}(m) = L(G(m))$ the group von Neumann algebra associated with $G(m)$. Denote by $\mathcal{A}(m)$ (or $\mathcal{A}_i$, $\mathcal{N}_i$, $\mathcal{B}_i$) the von Neumann subalgebra $L(H(m))$, (or $L(H_i)$, $L(G_i)$, $L(J_i)$ respectively) of $\mathcal{M}$, for all $1 \leq i \leq m$.

From the construction of $\mathcal{A}(m)$, it is easy to see the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1 *$\mathcal{A}(m)$ is a maximal abelian von Neumann subalgebra of $\mathcal{M}(m)$.*

Moveover, we have that

Lemma 4.2 *$\mathcal{A}(m)$ is a singular maximal abelian von Neumann subalgebra of $\mathcal{M}(m)$.*

Proof: For any group element g in $G(m) \setminus (\cup_i G_i)$, we have $\lambda(g)\mathcal{A}(m)\lambda(g)^*$ and $\mathcal{A}(m)$ are mutually orthogonal in $\mathcal{M}(m)$. By Lemma 2.5 in [7], it follows that $\lambda(g)$ is orthogonal to $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}(m))$, where $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}(m))$ is the von Neumann subalgebra of $\mathcal{M}(m)$ generated by the normalizer of $\mathcal{A}(m)$ in $\mathcal{M}(m)$. But the Hilbert space generated in $L^2(G(m))$ by $\lambda(g)$ with $g \in G(m) \setminus (\cup_i G_i)$, coincides with the orthogonal of $L^2(\cup G_i)$ in $L^2(G(m))$. Therefore $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}(m)) \subset L^2(\cup_i G_i)$. Moreover, for all $i \neq j$, if the unitary element $\lambda(g)$ is an element such that g is in G_i and not contained in G_j, then $\lambda(g)\mathcal{A}_j\lambda(g)^*$ and $\mathcal{A}(m)$ are mutually orthogonal. Hence, again by Lemma 2.5 in [7], such $\lambda(g)$ is orthogonal to $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}(m))$. Therefore, for all g not contained in $\cap_i G_i$, $\lambda(g)$ is orthogonal to $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}(m))$. It follows that $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}(m)) = \mathcal{A}(m)$. Combining with the preceding lemma, $\mathcal{A}(m)$ is a singular maximal abelian von Neumann subalgebra of $\mathcal{M}(m)$. Q.E.D.

Lemma 4.3 *Let $\mathcal{B} \cong L(\mathbb{Z})$. Then we also have that $\mathcal{A}(m) \otimes \mathcal{B}$ is a singular maximal abelian von Neumann subalgebra of $\mathcal{M}(m) \otimes \mathcal{B}$.*

Proof: The proof of the lemma is almost identical with the one of Lemma 4.2. So we skipped it here. Q.E.D.

The following lemma is Corollary 3.3 in [9]. For the reader's convenience, we present a proof here.

Lemma 4.4 *$\mathcal{A}(1)$ is a maximal injective abelian von Neumann subalgebra in $\mathcal{M}(1)$.*

Proof: Assume $\mathcal{R}$ is a maximal injective von Neumann subalgebra of $\mathcal{M}(1)$ and $\mathcal{A}(1) \subset \mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{M}(1)$. Let $\mathcal{M}_0 = \mathbb{C}$ and the group $G(1)$ act trivially on $\mathcal{M}_0$.

Decompose $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}_1 \oplus \mathcal{R}_2$ where $\mathcal{R}_1$ is a type I von Neumann subalgebra and $\mathcal{R}_2$ is a type II_1 injective von Neumann subalgebra. If $\mathcal{R}_2 \neq 0$, from Corollary 2.1 we can find some x in $\mathcal{R}' \cap \mathcal{R}_2^\omega$ but not contained in $\mathcal{A}(1)^\omega$. By Lemma 2.4 we can find a unitary w in $\mathcal{R}_2$ such that w is orthogonal to $\mathcal{A}(1)$ in $\mathcal{R}$, whence $E_{\mathcal{A}(1)}(w) = 0$. By Corollary 3.1 and the fact that $x \in \mathcal{R}_2^\omega$, we have that $0 = ||xw - wx||_2 \geq ||(x - E_{\mathcal{A}(1)^\omega}(x))w||_2 > 0$, which is a contradiction. Therefore $\mathcal{R}_2 = 0$ and $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}_1$. From Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 4.2, it follows that $\mathcal{A}(1) = \mathcal{R}$. Q.E.D

Lemma 4.5 *Let $\mathcal{B} \cong L(\mathbb{Z})$. $\mathcal{A}(1) \otimes \mathcal{B}$ is a maximal injective subalgebra of $\mathcal{M}(1) \otimes \mathcal{B}$ $(= L(F(n_1)) \otimes \mathcal{B})$.*

Proof: Suppose $\mathcal{R}$ is a maximal injective von Neumann subalgebra and $\mathcal{A}(1) \otimes \mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{M}(1) \otimes \mathcal{B}$. Suppose $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}_1 \oplus \mathcal{R}_2$, where $\mathcal{R}_1$ is a type I von Neumann subalgebra of $\mathcal{M}(1) \otimes \mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{R}_2$ is a type II_1 injective von Neumann subalgebra of $\mathcal{M}(1) \otimes \mathcal{B}$. If $\mathcal{R}_2 \neq 0$, from Corollary 2.1 we can find some x in $\mathcal{R}' \cap \mathcal{R}_2^\omega$ but not contained in $(\mathcal{A}(1) \otimes \mathcal{B})^\omega$. By Lemma 2.4 we can find a unitary w in $\mathcal{R}_2$ such that w is orthogonal to $\mathcal{A}(1) \otimes \mathcal{B}$ in $\mathcal{R}$. Let $\mathcal{M}_0 = \mathcal{B}$ and the group $G(1)$ act trivially on $\mathcal{B}$. By Corollary 3.1 and the fact that $x \in \mathcal{R}_2^\omega$, we have that $0 = ||xw - wx||_2 \geq ||(x - E_{(\mathcal{A}(1)\otimes\mathcal{B})^\omega}(x))w||_2 = ||x - E_{(\mathcal{A}(1)\otimes\mathcal{B})^\omega}(x)||_2 > 0$, which is a contradiction. Therefore $\mathcal{R}_2 = 0$ and $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}_1$. From Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 4.2, it follows that $\mathcal{A}(1) \otimes \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{R}$. Q.E.D.

Lemma 4.6 *Let $\mathcal{B} \cong L(\mathbb{Z})$. Suppose that, when $m < k$, $\mathcal{A}(m) \otimes \mathcal{B}$ is a maximal injective von Neumann subalgebra of $\mathcal{M}(m) \otimes \mathcal{B}$. Then $\mathcal{A}(k) \otimes \mathcal{B}$ is also a maximal injective von Neumann subalgebra of $\mathcal{M}(k) \otimes \mathcal{B}$.*

Proof: Assume $\mathcal{R}$ is a maximal injective von Neumann subalgebra of $\mathcal{M}(k) \otimes \mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{A}(k) \otimes \mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{M}(k) \otimes \mathcal{B}$. Let $\mathcal{M}_0 = \mathcal{B}$ and the group $G(k)$ act trivially on $\mathcal{M}_0$.

Since, for all $1 \leq i \leq k$, $(L(G_i) \otimes \mathcal{B})' \cap (\mathcal{M}(k) \otimes \mathcal{B}) \subset (L(G_i) \otimes \mathcal{B})$, there is a unique trace-preserving condition expectation $E_{L(G_i)\otimes\mathcal{B}}$ from $\mathcal{M}(k) \otimes \mathcal{B}$ onto $L(G_i) \otimes \mathcal{B}$. Actually $E_{L(G_i)\otimes\mathcal{B}}(x)$ is defined as

$$E_{L(G_i)\otimes\mathcal{B}}(x) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{l=-n}^{n} \lambda(g_{i,1})^l x \lambda(g_{i,1})^{-l}.$$

Moreover, if x is expressed as $\sum_{g\in G} a_g\lambda(g)$, then $E_{L(G_i)\otimes\mathcal{B}}(x) = \sum_{g\in G_i} a_g\lambda(g)$, where $a_g \in \mathcal{B}$.

If $E_{L(G_i)\otimes\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{R}) \supsetneqq \mathcal{A}(k)\otimes\mathcal{B}$, there exists some x in $\mathcal{R}$ such that $E_{L(G_i)\otimes\mathcal{B}}(x)$ is not contained in $\mathcal{A}(k)\otimes\mathcal{B}$. From the fact that $E_{L(G_i)\otimes\mathcal{B}}(x) = \lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{2n}\sum_{l=-n}^{n}\lambda(g_{i,1})^l x\lambda(g_{i,1})^{-l}$ and $\lambda(g_{i,1})$ belongs to $\mathcal{A}_i \subset \mathcal{A}(k)$, we get that $E_{L(G_i)\otimes\mathcal{B}}(x)$ is also contained in $\mathcal{R}$. Denote $E_{L(G_i)\otimes\mathcal{B}}(x)$ by y. Therefore y is in $\mathcal{R}\cap(L(G_i)\otimes\mathcal{B})$ but not contained in $\mathcal{A}(k)\otimes\mathcal{B}$. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be the von Neumann subalgebra generated by y and $\mathcal{A}(k)\otimes\mathcal{B}$ in $\mathcal{R}\cap(L(G_i)\otimes\mathcal{B})$. Since $\mathcal{R}$ is injective, $\mathcal{S}$ is also injective and contained in $L(G_i)\otimes\mathcal{B}$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}(k)\otimes\mathcal{B} &= \left(\otimes_{j=1}^{i-1}\mathcal{A}_j\right)\otimes\left(\otimes_{j=i+1}^{k}\mathcal{A}_j\right)\otimes(\mathcal{A}_i\otimes\mathcal{B})\\ &\subset \mathcal{S} \subset \left(\otimes_{j=1}^{i-1}L(F(n_j))\right)\otimes\left(\otimes_{j=i+1}^{k}L(F(n_j))\right)\otimes(\mathcal{A}_i\otimes\mathcal{B})\end{aligned}$$

By induction hypothesis, we know $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{A}(k)$, contradicting with the assumption that $0 \neq y \in \mathcal{S}\setminus\mathcal{A}(k)$. Hence we obtain $E_{L(G_i)\otimes\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{R}) = \mathcal{A}(k)\otimes\mathcal{B}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$. It follows that we have $E_{L(G_1)\otimes\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{R}) = \cdots = E_{L(G_k)\otimes\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{R}) = \mathcal{A}(k)\otimes\mathcal{B}$.

Therefore, we can assume that $E_{L(G_1)\otimes\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{R}) = \cdots = E_{L(G_k)\otimes\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{R}) = \mathcal{A}(k)\otimes\mathcal{B}$. Again decompose $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}_1\oplus\mathcal{R}_2$ where $\mathcal{R}_1$ is a type I von Neumann subalgebra and $\mathcal{R}_2$ is a type II_1 injective von Neumann subalgebra. If $\mathcal{R}_2 \neq 0$, from Corollary 2.1 we can find some x in $\mathcal{R}'\cap\mathcal{R}_2^\omega$ but not contained in $(\mathcal{A}(k)\otimes B)^\omega$. By Lemma 2.4 we can find a unitary w in $\mathcal{R}_2$ such that w is orthogonal to $\mathcal{A}(k)\otimes\mathcal{B}$ in $\mathcal{R}$, whence $E_{L(G_1)\otimes\mathcal{B}}(w) = \cdots = E_{L(G_k)\otimes\mathcal{B}}(w) = E_{\mathcal{A}(k)\otimes\mathcal{B}}(w) = 0$. By Corollary 3.2 and the fact that $x \in \mathcal{R}_2^\omega$, we have that $0 = ||xw - wx||_2 \geq ||(x - E_{\mathcal{A}(k)^\omega}(x))w||_2 > 0$, which is a contradiction. Therefore $\mathcal{R}_2 = 0$ and $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}_1$. From Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 4.2, it follows that $\mathcal{A}(k)\otimes\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{R}$. Q.E.D.

Lemma 4.7 *Let $\mathcal{B} \cong L(\mathbb{Z})$. Assume that, when $m < k$, $A(m)\otimes\mathcal{B}$ is a maximal injective von Neumann subalgebra in $\mathcal{M}(m)\otimes\mathcal{B}$. Then $A(k)$ is a maximal injective von Neumann subalgebra of $\mathcal{M}(k)$.*

Proof: Assume $\mathcal{R}$ is a maximal injective von Neumann subalgebra of $\mathcal{M}(k)$ and $\mathcal{A}(k)\subset\mathcal{R}\subset\mathcal{M}(k)$. Let $\mathcal{M}_0 = \mathbb{C}$ and the group $G(k)$ act trivially on $\mathcal{M}_0$.

Since, for all $1 \leq i \leq k$, $L(G_i)'\cap\mathcal{M}(k) \subset L(G_i)$, there is a unique trace-preserving condition expectation $E_{L(G_i)}$ from $\mathcal{M}(k)$ onto $L(G_i)$. Actually $E_{L(G_i)}(x)$ is defined as

$$E_{L(G_i)}(x) = \lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{2n}\sum_{l=-n}^{n}\lambda(g_{i,1})^l x\lambda(g_{i,1})^{-l}.$$

Moreover, if x is expressed as $\sum_{g\in G} a_g\lambda(g)$, then $E_{L(G_i)}(x) = \sum_{g\in G_i} a_g\lambda(g)$, where $a_g \in \mathcal{M}_0 = \mathbb{C}I$.

If $E_{L(G_i)}(\mathcal{R}) \supsetneqq \mathcal{A}(k)$, there exists some x in $\mathcal{R}$ such that $E_{L(G_i)}(x)$ is not contained in $\mathcal{A}(k)$. From the fact that $E_{L(G_i)}(x) = \lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{2n}\sum_{l=-n}^{n}\lambda(g_{i,1})^l x\lambda(g_{i,1})^{-l}$ and $\lambda(g_{i,1})$

belongs to $\mathcal{A}_i \subset \mathcal{A}(k)$, we get that $E_{L(G_i)}(x)$ is also contained in $\mathcal{R}$. Denote $E_{L(G_i)}(x)$ by y. Therefore y is in $\mathcal{R} \cap L(G_i)$ but not contained in $\mathcal{A}(k)$. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be the von Neumann subalgebra generated by y and $\mathcal{A}(k)$ in $\mathcal{R} \cap L(G_i)$. Since $\mathcal{R}$ is injective, $\mathcal{S}$ is also injective and contained in $L(G_i)$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}(k) &= \left(\otimes_{j=1}^{i-1}\mathcal{A}_j\right) \otimes \left(\otimes_{j=i+1}^{k}\mathcal{A}_j\right) \otimes \mathcal{A}_i \\ &\subset \mathcal{S} \subset \left(\otimes_{j=1}^{i-1}L(F(n_j))\right) \otimes \left(\otimes_{j=i+1}^{k}L(F(n_j))\right) \otimes \mathcal{A}_i\end{aligned}$$

By induction hypothesis, we know $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{A}(k)$, contradicting with the assumption that $0 \neq y \in \mathcal{S} \setminus \mathcal{A}(k)$. Hence we obtain $E_{L(G_i)}(\mathcal{R}) = \mathcal{A}(k)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$. It follows that we have $E_{L(G_1)}(\mathcal{R}) = \cdots = E_{L(G_k)}(\mathcal{R}) = \mathcal{A}(k)$.

Therefore, we can assume that $E_{L(G_1)}(\mathcal{R}) = \cdots = E_{L(G_k)}(\mathcal{R}) = \mathcal{A}(k)$. Again decompose $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}_1 \oplus \mathcal{R}_2$ where $\mathcal{R}_1$ is a type I von Neumann subalgebra and $\mathcal{R}_2$ is a type II_1 injective von Neumann subalgebra. If $\mathcal{R}_2 \neq 0$, from Corollary 2.1 we can find some x in $\mathcal{R}' \cap \mathcal{R}_2^{\omega}$ but not contained in $\mathcal{A}(k)^{\omega}$. By Lemma 2.4 we can find a unitary w in $\mathcal{R}_2$ such that w is orthogonal to $\mathcal{A}(k)$ in $\mathcal{R}$, whence $E_{L(G_1)}(w) = \cdots = E_{L(G_k)}(w) = E_{\mathcal{A}(k)}(w) = 0$. By Corollary 3.2 and the fact that $x \in \mathcal{R}_2^{\omega}$, we have that $0 = ||xw - wx||_2 \geq ||(x - E_{\mathcal{A}(k)^{\omega}}(x))w||_2 > 0$, which is a contradiction. Therefore $\mathcal{R}_2 = 0$ and $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}_1$. From Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 4.2, it follows that $\mathcal{A}(k) = \mathcal{R}$. Q.E.D

The following is the main result in this section.

Theorem 4.1 *Following the notations as above. Suppose $\{n_i\}_{i=1}^{N}$ is a sequence of integers where $n_i \geq 2$ for all $1 \leq i \leq N$ and N is finite or infinite. Let $F(n_i)$ be the free group with the standard generators $\{g_{i,j}\}_{j=1}^{n_i}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq N$. Let the group G be $\times_{i=1}^{N} F(n_i)$, the direct product of $F(n_1), \ldots, F(n_N)$. And $F(n_i)$ is identified with its canonical image in G. Let λ be the left regular representation of G and $\mathcal{M} = L(G) \cong \otimes_{i=1}^{N} L(F(n_i))$ be the group von Neumann algebra associated with G. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the abelian von Neumann subalgebra of $\mathcal{M}$ generated by the unitary elements $\{\lambda(g_{i,1}) | 1 \leq i \leq N\}$. Then $\mathcal{A}$ is a maximal injective subalgebra of $\mathcal{M}$ and not contained in any hyperfinite subfactor of $\mathcal{M}$.*

The proof of Theorem 4.1 is divided into two different cases: (i) N is finite (ii) N is infinite. Therefore the theorem will follow easily from the following two propositions.

Proposition 4.1 *When N is finite, $\mathcal{A}$ is a maximal injective subalgebra of $\mathcal{M}$. Moreover, if $\mathcal{B} \cong L(\mathbb{Z})$, then $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}$ is a maximal injective abelian von Neumann subalgebra of $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{B}$.*

Proposition 4.2 *When N is infinite, $\mathcal{A}$ is a maximal injective subalgebra of $\mathcal{M}$.*

Proof of Proposition 4.1: The proposition follows easily from Lemma 4.4, Lemma 4.5, Lemma 4.6 and Lemma 4.7.

Proof of Proposition 4.2: Recall

$$H_i = \text{subgroup of } F(n_i) \text{ generated by } g_{i,1}, \qquad \text{for } i \geq 1$$
$$J_i = \left(\times_{k=1}^{i-1} F(n_k)\right) \times \left(\times_{k=i}^{\infty} H_k\right), \qquad \text{for } i \geq 1$$

We denote by $\mathcal{A}_i$, or $\mathcal{B}_i$, the von Neumann subalgebra $L(H_i)$, or $L(J_i)$ respectively, of $\mathcal{M}$, for $i \geq 1$.

It is easy to see that $\mathcal{B}_i \nearrow \mathcal{M}$. Assume that $\mathcal{R}$ is an injective von Neumann subalgebra of $\mathcal{M}$ that contains $\mathcal{A}$ properly. Hence there exists some x in $\mathcal{R}$ but not in $\mathcal{A}$. There exists some positive number a such that $||x||_2 > a > ||E_{\mathcal{A}}(x)||_2$. Note that $E_{\mathcal{B}_i}(x) \to x$ when i goes to infinity. There is some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $||E_{B_k}(x)||_2 > a$. Since

$$\mathcal{B}_k \cong L(F(n_1)) \otimes \cdots \otimes L(F(n_{k-1})) \otimes \left(\otimes_{j=k}^{\infty} A_j\right),$$

by same arguments as in Lemma 4.7 we know that $E_{\mathcal{B}_k}(x) = \frac{1}{2n} \lim_{l=-n}^{n} u^l x u^{-l}$, where u is the Haar unitary element that generates $\otimes_{j=k}^{\infty} A_j$. It follows that $E_{\mathcal{B}_k}(x) \in \mathcal{R} \cap \mathcal{B}_k$. Denote $E_{\mathcal{B}_k}(x)$ by y. Note that $||y||_2 > a > ||E_{\mathcal{A}}(y)||_2$. We know that y is not contained in $\mathcal{A}$. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be the von Neumann subalgebra of $\mathcal{R} \cap \mathcal{B}_k$ generated by y and $\mathcal{A}$. Since $\mathcal{R}$ is injective, $\mathcal{S}$ is also injective. By Proposition 4.1, $\mathcal{A}$ $(= \otimes_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_i)$ is maximal injective in $\mathcal{B}_k \cong L(F(n_1)) \otimes \cdots \otimes L(F(n_{k-1})) \otimes \left(\otimes_{j=k}^{\infty} A_j\right)$. It contradicts with the fact that $0 \neq y \in \mathcal{S} \setminus \mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{S}$ is injective. Hence $\mathcal{A}$ is a maximal injective von Neumann subalgebra of $\mathcal{M}$. Q.E.D

Remark: When N is infinite, we obtain examples of McDuff factors of type II_1, infinite tensor products of free group factors, that contains an abelian von Neumann subalgebra as the maximal injective abelian von Neumann subalgebra. These McDuff factors have self-adjoint operators that are not contained in any hyperfinite subfactors, which also answers Kadison's problem #7 in the negative.

REFERENCES

1. A. Connes, “Classification of Injective Factors,” Ann. of Math. 104 (1976), 73-116.

2. L. Ge, “On Maximal Injective Subalgebras of Factors,” Advances in Mathematics 118 (1996), 34-70.

3. R. Kadison, “Problems on von Neumann Algebras,” Baton Rouge Conference, unpublished.

4. R. Kadison, “Diagonalizing Matrices,” American Journal of Mathematics Vol. 106, No. 6 (Dec., 1984), pp. 1451-1468.”

5. R. Kadison, “Fundamental of the Theory of Operator Algebras,” Vols. 1 and 2, Academic Press, Orlando, 1983 and 1986.

6. D. McDuff, “Central Sequences and the Hyperfinite Factors,” Proc. London Math. Soc. 21 (1970), 443-461.

7. F. Murray and von Neumann, “Rings of Operators,” Ann. of Math. 44 (1943), 716-808.

8. S.Popa, “Orthogonal Pairs of subalgebras in Finite von Neumann Algebras,” J. Operator Theory 9 (1983), 253-268.

9. S. Popa, “Maximal Injective Subalgebras in Factors Associated with Free groups,” Advances in Mathematics 50, (1983), 27-48.

10. S. Sakai, “C^*-algebras and W^*-algebras,” Reprint of the 1971 edition. Classics in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.

11. M. Takesaki, “Theory of operator algebras,” Vols. 1, 2 and 3, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, 124, 125 and 127. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002, 2003 and 2003.